

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,405	•	01/03/2002	Brian Taylor	RPS920050806US1	8361	
39698	7590	08/28/2006		EXAMINER		
DUKE W. Y	YEE		LANEAU, RONALD			
YEE & ASS	OCIATE	S, P.C.				
P.O. BOX 80		,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, T	X 7538	0		3627		
			•			

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-	Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commence	10/038,4	05	TAYLOR ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit						
		Ronald L		3627						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1) 🏹	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 June 2006								
· —		This action is r	non-final							
	•			secution as to the	e merits is					
-,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	,							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applica	tion.								
			nsideration							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
·	6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election r	equirement							
		id/o/ cicolioii i	equilibrium.							
Applicati	on Papers									
	The specification is objected to by the Exan									
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b	\square objected to by the E	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	oe held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is requir	red if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum			on No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
			·							
Attachmen	t(s)									
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa) 152\					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	nuo)	6) Other:	аселт Аррисацоп (РТС	<i>7</i> -102)					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

Response to Amendment

1. The response filed on 6/14/06 has been entered. Claims 1-20 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al (US 6,837,428 B2) in view of Flynn (US 2003/0122667 A1)

As per claims 1-10 and 12-20, Lee discloses a method of optimizing a value associated with a characteristic of a product stored in a first field of a security database of a self-checkout system at an optimizing time, said security database also including a second field for storing identification information for said product, a third field for storing a last time when said value

Application/Control Number: 10/038,405

Art Unit: 3627

was last updated and a fourth field for storing at least one new value for said characteristic stored in said fourth field between said last time and said optimizing time (the security database disclosed by Lee is capable of include all different fields data is being stored in (col. 6, line 65 to col. 7, line 11)), said value being used in a comparison to a second value associated with said characteristic and detected in a security area of said self-checkout system during a purchasing transaction (the different values preset and set in the database are being compared with the item's characteristics, height, width, length and weight, etc), said comparison used as a security measure to confirm that a product placed in said security area during said purchasing transaction is the same product identified by said system after said system identifies said product via identification information input by a user of said system (col. 4, lines 1-14). Lee further discloses providing a database of records wherein each of said records includes a plurality of values of fields containing respective field values which characterize said products, obviously teach the time difference between the optimizing time value and the last time value, revising said value for each product in said query result using said new value (updating data records with new value (col. 5, line 63 to col. 6, line 9)), a method wherein said physical characteristic comprises weight of said product, a storage device 562 to store all attributes for all products (see abs.).

Lee does not disclose comparing each search term to keywords associated with each product but Flynn discloses a self-checkout system that includes the steps of: querying said database for products that includes comparing each of the search term to keywords associated with each product (page 3, [0025]), an auditory characteristic to identify an item when scanned (all checkout system includes an audio sound when items are scanned in)).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the self-checkout system as taught by Flynn into the system of Lee because it would allow a customer to not only search for item to purchase but to actually finalize the transaction by self-checking out said items and also saving time during the process.

As per claim 11, neither Lee nor Flynn explicitly teaches a predetermined amount of new values that is between 2-100 but the new found value is intrinsic to each product scanned into the system and whether said value falls within that particular range will depend on the products' attributes.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald Laneau whose telephone number is (571) 272-6784. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished Art Unit: 3627

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ronald Laneau 8/8/06
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627

rl